

PAPER CODE: MDH20241402

KALOJI NARAYANA RAO UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES
TELANGANA STATE, WARANGAL – 506 002
MD HOMOEOPATHY PART I DEGREE EXAMINATIONS: APRIL 2026
FUNDAMENTALS OF HOMOEOPATHY IN PRACTICE OF MEDICINE
PAPER II

Time: 3 Hours.

Max Marks: 100

Note: Answer all questions.

Give Diagrammatic representation wherever necessary.

Problem based question:

1 X 20 = 20

1. A 42 year old Male presents with chronic allergic rhinitis for 6 years, with frequent sneezing watery nasal discharge, nasal obstruction worse at night, and marked sensitivity to dust. Mentally, he is anxious about his health, desires company and fears chronic illness. He was prescribed Arsenicum album 200, One dose, followed by placebo. On follow up after 6 weeks: sneezing reduced by 70% Nasal obstruction persists at night, Anxiety has reduce, Occasional dryness of nose has appeared, general energy is improved. **(4 x 5=20)**
 - a) Assess the remedy response using Kent's 12 observations. Briefly describe.
 - b) Decide whether repetition, changes of potency, or change of remedy is indicated.
 - c) Discuss the role of disease knowledge and relevant investigations in evaluating therapeutic responses.
 - d) Explain patient education and ancillary measures advised in this case

Long answer questions:

4 X 10 = 40

2. Define suppression and discus the types of suppression. Explain how suppression influences the progression of disease, miasmatic shift, and internalization of pathology. Add a note on the homoeopathic management of suppressed cases with reference to principles of Organon of medicine. **(3+3+4)**
3. Enumerate and explain the guidelines for case taking as laid down by Samuel Hahnemann. Discuss how these principles help in systematic elicitation of symptoms and avoidance of assumptions and leading questions. **(5+5)**
4. Define drug proving and explain its objectives and significance in homoeopathy. Describe the process and manner of recording: Artificial disease (drug proving's), Natural disease (clinical case records). **(5+5)**
5. Explain the concept of individualisation and generalisation as described by: James Tyler Kent, C.M.F von Boenninghausen. Bring out the essential differences between these two approaches. **(5+5)**

Short answer questions:**8 X 5 = 40**

6. Describe Hahnemannian concept of man. Briefly explain how this concept was further expanded by James Tyler Kent.
7. Define Pseudo-chronic disease and describe with examples the common causes responsible for their persistence.
8. Explain how the Hahnemannian classification of disease helps in: Defining the scope and limitations of homeopathic treatment, Selecting the appropriate therapeutic approach in different types of cases.
9. Enumerate the salient features of regional (clinical) repertories. Explain how such repertories assist in: Rapid case taking management of common clinical conditions.
10. Briefly compare the method of constructing reportorial totality according to James Tyler Kent, C.M.F von Boenninghausen, C.M Boger.
11. Explain the different remedy relationships, namely: Complementary, Inimical, Antidotal Follows well and Similar remedies.
12. Describe the method of evaluation of symptoms and explain its importance in constructing a meaningful totality.
13. Explain the mission of the physician as described by Samuel Hahnemann. Enumerate the essential knowledge required of a homoeopathic physician to fulfil this mission.
